
An Empirical Study of the Relationship Between Exchange Rate Misalignments, Economic Complexity and Export Diversification

Neil Foster-McGregor and Danilo Spinola

Executive Summary

Recent research underscores the nuanced effect of real exchange rate (RER) misalignments on the economic complexity and export diversification of nations, thereby challenging conventional wisdom. Utilising panel vector autoregression (VAR), this study (Foster-McGregor and Spinola, 2024) presents insights critical for policymakers, highlighting the variable effects of RER deviations across developed and developing countries. Key findings indicate that RER misalignments do not uniformly enhance export performance and economic complexity. Instead, their influence is multifaceted, with significant

implications for national economic structures and long-term development strategies.

To shed light on this relationship, the brief relies on an in-depth panel analysis encompassing 151 countries from 1962 to 2019. Through this comprehensive study, the brief seeks to elucidate the complex cause-and-effect links between variations in RER and the structure of a nation's export framework.

The objective is to provide a rich source of information and understanding for those in positions of authority – policymakers, financial bodies, or other relevant stakeholders. With this knowledge, these key players can make decisions grounded in a deep understanding of the global economic environment.

About the author

Neil Foster-McGregor is currently a Senior Economist at the Asian Development Bank, Philippines.

Danilo Spinola is currently the Director of the Center for Accountancy, Finance, and Economics (CAFÉ) and Senior Lecturer at Birmingham City University. Also, an affiliated researcher with the United Nations University, and Senior Research Associate at the South African Research Chair in Industrial Development, University of Johannesburg.

This Policy Brief is based on the following Working Paper:

Foster-McGregor, N., Spinola D. (2024). An Empirical Study of the Relationship between Exchange Rate Misalignments, Economic Complexity and Export Diversification. SARChI Industrial Development, University of Johannesburg.

The overarching goal is to guide these economies on a path that promotes not just growth, but growth that is sustainable, resilient and diversified.

Key findings

Variable impact of RER misalignments

The study reveals that real exchange rate (RER) misalignments do not have a uniform effect on export diversification and economic complexity, as previously assumed. Instead, these impacts vary significantly, underscored by differences attributable to the specific economic conditions and policies of individual countries, as well as changes over time. This finding challenges the conventional view and suggests a more nuanced understanding of how RER fluctuations influence a nation's trade and economic structure.

Enduring effects on economic structure

It has been observed that RER misalignments possess the potential to significantly alter the direction of export diversification efforts. Such changes do not consistently lead to enhancements in economic complexity or diversification, as might be anticipated. Instead, the alterations in trade and economic focus prompted by these misalignments can diverge considerably from the expected outcomes, indicating the profound and lasting effect RER adjustments can have on a nation's economic architecture.

Catalysts for long-term transformation

The research further identifies RER misalignments as triggers for comprehensive long-term economic transformations. These shifts go beyond mere diversification to encompass substantial advancements in technology and the development of more advanced production capabilities. The initiation of such transformative changes underscores the role of RER adjustments not just in influencing short-term trade balances,

but in shaping the broader trajectory of national economic development.

Emphasis on innovation and technological advancements

The findings of the study suggest a strong correlation between responses to RER misalignments and a strategic shift towards innovation and technology-driven economic strategies. Countries that adapt to RER-induced changes by fostering innovation tend to broaden their export bases, moving towards the production and export of higher-value goods. This strategic realignment not only enhances the diversity and quality of exports, but also boosts the country's competitiveness in international markets. Over time, these countries can redefine industry standards, positioning themselves as leaders in the creation and export of innovative and technologically advanced products. This progression highlights the critical role of RER management in facilitating a nation's journey towards economic sophistication and global market leadership.

Strategic policy integration and coordination

The analysis underscores the importance of integrating RER management strategies within the broader economic policy framework to achieve the desired outcomes. Strategic coordination between monetary policy, trade policy and industrial strategy is essential for harnessing the potential of RER misalignments. This coordinated approach ensures that adjustments in the RER are complemented by supportive policies, such as investment in R&D, infrastructure development and skills training, which together can amplify the positive effects on economic complexity and export diversification. The findings highlight the need for a holistic policy perspective that views RER adjustments not in isolation, but as part of a comprehensive economic strategy aimed at fostering long-term growth and competitiveness.

Global market dynamics and competitive positioning

This study also brings to light the influence of global market dynamics on the effectiveness of RER misalignment strategies. The ability of a country to improve its export diversity and economic complexity in response to RER adjustments is significantly affected by its positioning within the global trade ecosystem. Countries that proactively engage with international market trends, adapting their export strategies to meet changing global demands, can better leverage RER misalignments to their advantage. This finding suggests that an outward-looking, market-informed approach to RER policy and economic planning is crucial. By aligning RER management with global market dynamics and competitive analysis, countries can more effectively navigate the challenges of international trade, securing a stronger position in the global economy and ensuring sustainable economic advancement.

Policy recommendations

Given the study's implications, policy recommendations emerged for governments, financial institutions and international economic bodies. These recommendations, discussed below and informed by the analysis, are geared towards capitalising on the benefits of RER misalignments and mitigating any potential drawbacks.

Strategic RER management

The adoption of a nuanced RER policy is crucial for shaping the national economy and the complexity of its production capabilities. This approach necessitates a deep dive into the varied effects of RER misalignments, moving beyond the simplistic view that correlates RER directly with export growth and economic complexity. Policymakers are urged to continuously monitor and analyse RER fluctuations to guide strategic decisions aimed at economic stabilisation and the nurturing of

sectors capable of producing high-value, technologically sophisticated outputs. The essence of RER management lies in its ability to reflect the economy's current state while serving as an instrument for future economic direction. Hence, it is vital to embed RER considerations in the wider economic strategy, ensuring that RER policies not only align with, but also actively promote, the national objectives of economic diversification and sophistication. Effective RER management positions a country to effectively contend with global market pressures, shield against negative external influences, and chart a course towards enduring economic growth and heightened international competitiveness.

Fostering economic complexity

Utilising RER misalignments strategically can act as a catalyst for economic transformation, steering the economy towards sectors marked by innovation and high market value. This strategy encourages a pivot from traditional exports to engaging in the production of technologically advanced goods, thereby enhancing the economy's complexity. It calls for identifying sectors with growth potential and directing resources to these areas to facilitate their development, supported by RER policies that make these sectors more competitive on a global scale.

Supporting policy framework

Creating a supportive framework of institutions and policies is essential for maximising the benefits of RER adjustments for the economy's complexity and diversification. This includes developing policies that encourage innovation, support technological advancement and provide a stable macroeconomic environment conducive to growth. By fostering a policy environment that amplifies the positive effects of RER management, countries can accelerate their transition towards a more complex and diversified economic structure.

Long-term development planning

Integrating insights from RER management into long-term development strategies is key to harnessing its full potential in driving sustained growth and technological progress. This involves planning beyond immediate economic cycles to envision a future in which RER policy underpins the development of an advanced, competitive economy. It signifies a commitment to leveraging RER as a strategic tool within a comprehensive framework of economic planning and development.

Further research and analysis

There is a continuous need for in-depth research on the effects of RER misalignments to refine our understanding of how they affect global economic dynamics. Encouraging further studies in this area can lead to the development of more sophisticated economic models and policy instruments that better address the complexities of RER management. This ongoing analysis will be instrumental in evolving economic policies that are both adaptive and forward-looking, ensuring they remain effective in a rapidly changing global economic landscape.

Enhanced international collaboration and coordination

Recognising the global nature of economic dynamics and the interconnectedness of markets, it is recommended that nations pursue stronger international cooperation in managing RER misalignments. This could involve sharing best practices, coordinating policy responses to global economic shifts, and engaging in multilateral discussions to address common challenges related to RER volatility. Enhanced international collaboration can help mitigate the adverse effects of abrupt RER fluctuations, promote stability in the global financial system, and facilitate a more coordinated approach to fostering economic complexity and diversity across borders. Such cooperation could be facilitated through existing international economic forums or

through new platforms designed specifically to address issues of RER management and economic complexity.

Development of adaptive economic policies

Governments and economic policymakers are advised to develop flexible and adaptive economic policies that can quickly respond to the challenges and opportunities presented by RER misalignments. This would include establishing mechanisms for real-time economic analysis and policy adjustment, thereby enabling a swift response to changing economic conditions. Adaptive policies should also consider the diverse impacts of RER misalignments across different sectors of the economy, ensuring targeted support for sectors most affected by currency fluctuations. By fostering an environment of economic resilience and adaptability, countries can better leverage RER dynamics for economic growth, diversification, and increased competitiveness in the global marketplace.

Final remarks

This policy brief delves deeply into the complex interactions between RER misalignments and the consequent shifts they can induce in the economic terrains of nations. By understanding these nuances, we recognise that these are not mere statistical observations, but have tangible effects in real-world economies.

The conclusions drawn from this analysis advocate for an integrated economic policy framework in which RER management is harmonised with national development objectives. Such coordination ensures that efforts to adjust the RER are aligned with the broader aim of achieving a diversified and technologically advanced economic base. The research also highlights the imperative for continuous scrutiny and adaptation of RER policies in response to evolving global market conditions and internal economic shifts. This dynamic approach to policy formulation and

implementation is essential for maintaining economic resilience and competitiveness on the international stage.

Furthermore, the study calls for an ongoing dialogue among economists, policymakers and scholars to explore the implications of RER adjustments further. It suggests that a collaborative effort to understand and leverage RER misalignments could lead to more effective economic strategies, fostering environments conducive to innovation, technological progress and economic diversification. As nations strive to enhance their economic standing and navigate through the complexities of global trade and finance, the insights provided by this research offer a valuable perspective on utilising RER as a strategic tool for economic transformation and growth.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., Robinson, J. & Thaicharoen, Y. (2003). Institutional causes, macroeconomic symptoms: Volatility, crises and growth. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 50(1): 49-123.
- Aghion, P., Bacchetta, P., Ranciere, R. & Rogoff, K. (2009). Exchange rate volatility and productivity growth: The role of financial development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 56(4): 494-513.
- Agosin, M. R., Alvarez, R. & Bravo-Ortega, C. (2012). Determinants of export diversification around the world: 1962–2000. *The World Economy*, 35(3): 295-315.
- Balland, P. A., Broekel, T., Diodato, D., Giuliani, E., Hausmann, R., O'Clery, N. & Rigby, D. (2022). Reprint of the new paradigm of economic complexity. *Research Policy*, 51(8): 104568.
- Canova, F. & Ciccarelli, M. (2013). Panel vector autoregressive models: A survey. In *VAR models in macroeconomics – New developments and applications: Essays in honor of Christopher A. Sims* (pp. 205-246). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Collier, P. & Goderis, B. (2012). Commodity prices and growth: An empirical investigation. *European Economic Review*, 56(6): 1241-1260.
- Damill, M. & Frenkel, R. (2017). Symposium: Dilemmas of exchange rate and monetary policies in Latin America. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 40: 1-8.
- Diao, X. & McMillan, M. (2018). Toward an understanding of economic growth in Africa: A reinterpretation of the Lewis model. *World Development*, 109: 511-522.
- Diao, X., McMillan, M. & Rodrik, D. (2019). The recent growth boom in developing economies: A structural-change perspective. In M. Nissanke & J. A. Ocampo (eds), *The Palgrave handbook of development economics: Critical reflections on globalisation and development* (pp. 281-334). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Easterly, W. (2001). The lost decades: Developing countries' stagnation in spite of policy reform 1980–1998. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 6(2): 135-157.
- Elbadawi, I. A., Kaltani, L. & Soto, R. (2012). Aid, real exchange rate misalignment, and economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Development*, 40(4): 681-700.
- Feenstra, R. C., Lipsey, R. E., Deng, H., Ma, A. C. & Mo, H. (2005). World trade flows: 1962-2000. Working Paper 11040. National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Felipe, J., Kumar, U., Abdon, A. & Bacate, M. (2012). Product complexity and economic development. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 23(1): 36-68.
- Frenkel, R. & Ros, J. (2006). Unemployment and the real exchange rate in Latin America. *World Development*, 34(4): 631-646.
- Gala, P., Rocha, I. & Magacho, G. (2018). The structuralist revenge: Economic complexity as an important dimension to evaluate growth and development. *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 38: 219-236.
- Glüzmann, P. A., Levy-Yeyati, E. & Sturzenegger, F. (2012). Exchange rate undervaluation and economic growth: Díaz Alejandro (1965) revisited. *Economics Letters*, 117(3): 666-672.
- Guzman, M., Ocampo, J. A. & Stiglitz, J. E. (2018). Real exchange rate policies for economic development. *World Development*, 110: 51-62.
- Haraguchi, N. (2015). Patterns of structural change and manufacturing development. In J. Weiss & M. Tribe (eds), *Routledge handbook of industry and development* (pp. 52-78). London: Routledge.
- Hausmann, R. & Hidalgo, C. A. (2011). The network structure of economic output. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 16: 309-342.

- Hausmann, R. & Hidalgo, C. A. (2014). *The atlas of economic complexity: Mapping paths to prosperity*. Cambridge MA: The MIT Press.
- Hausmann, R., Hwang, J. & Rodrik, D. (2007). What you export matters. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12: 1-25.
- Hausmann, R., Pritchett, L. & Rodrik, D. (2005). Growth accelerations. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 10: 303-329.
- Hartmann, D., Guevara, M. R., Jara-Figueroa, C., Aristarán, M. & Hidalgo, C. A. (2017). Linking economic complexity, institutions, and income inequality. *World Development*, 93: 75-93.
- Heckman, J. J. (2008). Econometric causality. *International Statistical Review*, 76(1): 1-27.
- Hidalgo, C. A. & Hausmann, R. (2009). The building blocks of economic complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106: 10570-10575.
- Issah, M. & Antwi, S. (2017). Role of macroeconomic variables on firms' performance: Evidence from the UK. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 5(1): 1405581.
- Jameson, K. P. (1986). Latin American structuralism: A methodological perspective. *World Development*, 14(2): 223-232.
- Lavopa, A. & Szirmai, A. (2018). Structural modernisation and development traps. An empirical approach. *World Development*, 112: 59-73.
- Foster-McGregor, N. & Spinola, D. (2024). An Empirical Study of the Relationships between Exchange Rate Misalignments, Economic Complexity and Export Diversification. SARChI Industrial Development Policy Brief Series PB 2024-05. SARChI Industrial Development, University of Johannesburg.
- Levy-Yeyati, E., Sturzenegger, F. & Gluzmann, P. A. (2013). Fear of appreciation. *Journal of Development Economics*, 101: 233-247.
- Marconi, N., Porto, T. C. & Araujo, E. (2022). The impact of exchange rate misalignments on manufacturing investment in Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 42: 853-875.
- Mealy, P., Farmer, J. D. & Teytelboym, A. (2019). Interpreting economic complexity. *Science Advances*, 5(1).
- Miao, Y. & Berg, M. A. (2010). The real exchange rate and growth revisited: The Washington Consensus strikes back? IMF Working Paper WP/10/58. International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.
- Rapetti, M., Skott, P. & Razmi, A. (2012). The real exchange rate and economic growth: Are developing countries different? *International Review of Applied Economics*, 26: 735-753.
- Razin, O. & Collins, S. M. (1997). Real exchange rate misalignments and growth. NBER Working Papers, Cambridge, MA.
- Ribeiro, R. S. M., McCombie, J. S. L. & Lima, G. T. (2016). *Some unpleasant currency-devaluation arithmetic in a post-Keynesian macromodel*. FEA/USP.
- Rodrik, D. (2008). The real exchange rate and economic growth. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 365-412.
- Sekkat, K. (2016). Exchange rate misalignment and export diversification in developing countries. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 59: 1-14.
- Svensson, J. (2003). Who must pay bribes and how much? Evidence from a cross section of firms. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(1): 207-230.
- Szirmai, A. (2012). Industrialisation as an engine of growth in developing countries, 1950–2005. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 23(4): 406-420.
- Vieira, F. V. & MacDonald, R. (2012). A panel data investigation of real exchange rate misalignment and growth. *Estudos Econômicos (São Paulo)*, 42: 433-456.

Acknowledgement: The South African Research Chairs Initiative (SARChI) was established in 2006 by the Department of Science and Innovation (DSI) and the National Research Foundation (NRF). The funding support of the DSI and the NRF through Grant Number 98627 and Grant Number 110691 for the South African Research Chair in Industrial Development has made this policy brief series possible.

Disclaimer: The Policy Brief Series is intended to stimulate policy debate. They express the views of their respective authors and not necessarily those of the South African Research Chair in Industrial Development (SARChI ID), the University of Johannesburg (UJ), the Department of Science and Innovation (DSI) or the National Research Foundation (NRF).

About the South African Research Chair in Industrial Development (SARChI ID)

The South African Research Chair in Industrial Development conducts research, builds capacity and undertakes public and policy engagement in the field of industrial development. Activities focus on research projects; training and supervision of graduate students; hosting postdoctoral fellows and research visitors; and various projects, often with partners, such as conferences, workshops, seminars, training courses, and public and policy engagements. SARChI Industrial Development's research and capacity-building programme focusses on industrial development, with key pillars of interest in structural change, industrialisation and deindustrialisation, industrial policy, and technology and innovation. SARChI Industrial Development is hosted at the University of Johannesburg, where it operates as a centre located in the College of Business and Economics and is linked to the School of Economics.

